

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/613,952 | | 07/03/2003 | Bruno Guillaume | 08291-710002 | 3404 | |
| 26161 | 7590 | 09/26/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | RDSON PC | WALCZAK, DAVID J | | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 3751 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/26/200 | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/613,952 | GUILLAUME ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | David J. Walczak | 3751 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju | ıly 2003. | | | | | | |
| · = · · · | • | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 7-13 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 8-13 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Application | on No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,, | (070) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/3/03</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The first page of the specification should be amended to include the continuing data, i.e., --This is a Divisional of 09/937,050, filed 9/20/01 and now U.S. Patent No. 6,616,363.-- should be added to the specification. Further, in the amendment filed 7/3/03, on line 4 of the paragraph beginning at page 9, line 7 of the substitute specification, "outer wall 2" should be --outer wall 1--. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 6-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,616363. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims anticipate the pending claims and anticipation is the epitome of obviousness.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8-13 are free of the art.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,952 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 9/22/05